


Trail 518903

545,820.43 (received) - 26,917.17 (recorded) = 518,903.26 (disappeared)




Signature pattern

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes, the Attorney Edward J. White, and their collaborators, make money disappear and protect themselves by dis-empowering the family they victimize. Their signature cover is to use a trusting family member to carry out their covert advice so it appears as if the effects from the wedges they plant come from the family. They make it appear that the family torn itself apart over money. Try to expose their accounting at bk467p191 and see what happens.

They are dangerous. I've lost my family, my community, my reputation, my health, and my assets, for trying to expose their accounting over the past 23 years.

No one tried to protect our family. Many protected them. I believe they are above the law, have total control with no accountability, have been operating for decades, are insulated beyond imagination, and no one is going to confront them. There is no regulatory agency in Virginia that would regulate them.

I believe the only way families can protect themselves is to recognize their patterns. My web sites show their patterns



BK0467 0191

77160
13-10-92
10-26-92
#12921
11-2-92
+ 60. debts to demands here

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

IN RE: ESTATE OF JEAN M. O'CONNELL

FIDUCIARY NO. 49160

Date of Qualification: December 10, 1991

FIRST ACCOUNTING OF

EDWARD J. WHITE AND JEAN M. NADER

CO-EXECUTORS

September 15, 1991 - September 15, 1992

RECEIPTS

Inventory Items

ck Wash Gas Light Co. 8/1/91	105.00	✓
ck Signet 8/5/91	39.60	✓
ck A. G. Edwards 8/15/91	2,346.63	✓
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 4/30/91	162.86	✓
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 5/31/91	162.86	✓
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 7/31/91	162.86	✓
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 8/30/91	162.86	✓
Ck Nuveen Fund 3/1/91	63.00	✓
Ck Nuveen Fund 5/1/91	63.00	✓
ck Nuveen Fund 6/3/91	63.00	✓
ck Nuveen Fund 8/1/91	66.50	✓
ck Nuveen Fund 9/3/91	66.50	✓
ck American Funds 9/9/91	424.76	✓
Sovran Bank #4536-2785	3,310.46	✓
First Virginia Bank #4076-1509	22,812.52	✓
Fx Co. Ind Dev Bond	109,587.00	✓
Franklin Va. Fund 4556.001 sh	51,396.34	AMD ✓
Investment Co. of America 3861.447 sh	65,663.91	✓
Kemper Mun Bond Fund 2961.152 sh	30,396.23	✓
Nuveen Premium Inc Mun Fund 700 sh	11,200.00	AMD ✓
Washington Gas Light Co. 200 sh	6,375.00	✓
Signet Banking Corp 198 sh	4,331.25	✓
Lynch Properties note	518,903.26	✓
Travelers Check	20.00	✓
1988 Plymouth Van	8,000.00	✓
Am Funds ck 5/10/91	326.60	✓
USAA Subscriber sav acct	25.10	AMD ✓
Sub Total Inventory Items	836,237.10	✓

545,820.43 (received) - 26,917.17 (recorded) = 518,903.26 (disappeared)

SEP 25 1992

The cash payment of an estimated \$545,820 paid to the Estate on April 21, 1992, for the full payoff of the Lynch Note was not recorded except for the \$26,917.17 in interest. Why is the disappearance of this estimated \$545,820 payment not recognized? Why is the disappearance of the Lynch note between the First and Second Estate Accounts not recognized?

MMU467 0192

Receipts During Period

First Virginia Bank int	399.58
ck Nuveen 11/1/91	66.50
ck WGL 11/1/91	105.00
ck Kemper 10/31/91	162.86
ck Nuveen 10/1/91	66.50
ck Kemper 9/30/91	162.86
Va. 1990 refund	1,605.58
Nuveen 12/31/91	66.50
Kemper 12/31/91	384.95
Kemper 11/29/91	162.86
Signet 11/27/91	39.60
Nuveen 12/2/91	66.50
Blue Cross 10/10/91	88.78
Int B&H earned 2/11	111.22
Nuveen 2/3/92	66.50
WGL div 2/1/92	105.00
Sovran int DOD-3/2/92	71.52
ck Signet 2/26/92	39.60
ck A. G. Edwards (Fx bond) 2/18/92	3,520.00
ck Kemper 2/28/92	171.75
ck Nuveen 3/2/92	66.50
Int B&H 3/10	246.12
ck Kemper 1/31/92	171.75
Int B&H 4/10	210.76
Int Lynch Prop Note DOD-4/21/92	26,917.17
Int fm Harold 'Connell Trust	816.00
Debt fm Harold O'Connell Trust	659.97
Nuveen 4/1/92	66.50
USAA refund	34.37
Int B&H 5/11	1,144.70
A. G. Edwards 5/27-Signet \$107 div	
WGL div 39.60	146.60
Int earned B&H	1,037.93
Nuveen 6/1/92	66.50
Int earned B&H	666.39
Nuveen 7/1/92	66.50
Int earned B&H	451.50
Nuveen 8/3/92	66.50
Nuveen 9/1/92	67.90
Nuveen 9/1/92	24.50
Int earned B&H	406.86
Inc Invest Co. of America DOD-9/15/92	5,403.87
A. G. Edwards acct Fx bond int	3,520.00
A. G. Edwards acct Signet div	39.60
A. G. Edwards acct WGL div	107.00
Kemper Mun Bond Fund DOD-9/15/92	1,468.42
Franklin Va. Fund DOD-9/15/92	5,590.85
Sub Total Receipts during period	56,928.42 ✓
TOTAL RECEIPTS	893,165.52 ✓

545,820.43 (received) - 26,917.17 (recorded) = 518,903.26 (disappeared)

Jean Nader is used to make \$518,903.26 disappear.

(1) I ask Edward White about a coming payment of \$545,820.43 to the Estate of Jean O'Connell [Our Mother] and he puts our sister Jean Nader between me and himself. me.

Anthony O'Connell to Edward White:

"As you know, the Lynch Limited Partnership plans to pay my Mother's Estate **\$545,820.43** on April 21, 1992. What is your best guess as to when and in what amount(s) you will make distribution(s) to the beneficiaries?"

(From Anthony O'Connell, Trustee, to Edward White, March 30, 1992)

Edward White to Anthony O'Connell:


"As soon as the money is received, the tax liabilities evaluated and upon consultation with the Co-Executor."

"I do not know what your problem is, but in the future, please address all correspondence yo Mrs. Nader"

(From Edward White's letter to Anthony O'Connell, April 4, 1992)

(2) On April 21, 1992, \$545,820.43 is received but only \$26,917.17 is recorded. The difference of \$518,903.26 disappears.

(3) On April 22, 1992, Edward White covertly advises our sister Jean Nader that he advocates taking me to Court if I don't what he wants.



$$545,820.43 \text{ (received)} - 26,917.17 \text{ (recorded)} = 518,903.26 \text{ (disappeared)}$$

\$

Anthony O'Connell
6541 Franconia Road
Springfield, Virginia 22150
{703} 971-2855
March 30, 1992

Mr. Ed White, Attorney
118 South Royal Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Reference: Estate of Jean O'Connell

Dear Mr. White:

I have a few questions I hope you would be kind enough to answer.

1. As you know, the Lynch Limited Partnership plans to pay my Mother's estate \$545,820.43 on April 21, 1992. What is your best guess as to when and in what amount(s) you will make distribution(s) to the beneficiaries?

2. The license plates on my deceased Mother's Van expire in April of 1992. Virginia DMV requires a new title with the new owners name before they will issue new plates {The plates cannot be renewed by the co-executors signing for Jean O'Connell}. The bank will give the co-executors the title if you simply pay them the interest on the loan. I understand the principal on the loan has been paid and I am guessing that the interest is something in the range of \$1200 to \$1400. Would you please pay the bank the interest so they will give you the title? What is your decision as to who gets the van and how much will it cost?

3. What is your fee for being co-executor of my mother's estate?

Yours truly,

Anthony O'Connell

Copy to:

Ms. Jean O'Connell Nader
350 Fourth Avenue
New Kensington, Pennsylvania 15068

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

April 4, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell
6541 Franconia Rd.
Springfield, Va. 22150

Re: Estate of Jean M. O'Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

I have received your letter of March 30, 1992.

The answers are:

Question 1. As soon as the money is received, the tax liabilities evaluated and upon consultation with the Co-Executor.

Question 2. Paid. It is not my decision as to what it will cost you, though I have been informed that you know full well.

Question 3. 2 1/2% of the receipts into the probate estate if approved by the Commissioner of Accounts.

I would call to your attention that on two separate occasions I drove to Sovran and spent a lengthy period of time on the question of the car loan. I did this in person since: I knew that you had the vehicle, that your sisters wanted you to have it, that the insurance and tags were due to expire soon and I did not want you to be inconvenienced. I could have done all of this by mail and it probably would have taken about three months, knowing the nature of the loan problem. I assumed I was doing you a favor.

Now I receive your letter asking that I "simply pay them the interest" I paid the interest and principal in one check on March 12, received the title on March 22 and mailed it to Mrs. Nader to sign over to you on March 23. Have you any suggestions as to how it could have gone faster?


The information of the commission was given to you previously by Mrs. Nader.

I do not know what your problem is, but in the future, please address all correspondence to Mrs. Nader.

At this point our family is powerless. The CPA Joanne L. Barnes, the Attorney Edward J. White, and their collaborators gain control of our family and assets using innocent Jean Nader as an unwitting agent and cover..

I am trying to be patient with you, but I find that this estate is time consuming enough without having to deal with letters such as the last two that I have received.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'E. J. White', written in dark ink.

Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

April 21, 1992

Missing
\$ 518,903
April 21, 1992

$$\$545,820.43 - \$26,917.17 = \$518,903.26$$

A cash payment of \$545,820.43 was made to the Estate on April 21, 1992, for the full payoff of the Lynch Note. But only the interest of \$26,917.17 was reported. The difference of \$518,903.26 disappeared. The note continues to be reported to the State and the IRS as late as April 10, 1995, as if it were still on schedule to mature on April 21, 1995. The Lynch Note disappears between the First and Second Court Accounts without explanation.

April 22, 1992

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
—
TELEPHONE 836-5444

The day after [the \$545,820 payment] letter

April 22, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader
350 Fourth Ave.
New Kensington, Pa. 15068

The \$545,820 cash payment to the estate on April 21, 1992, the day before the date of this letter, is not mentioned. .

Re: Disbursement

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is an agreement which should satisfy Tony as to the car. It cannot be any clearer.

Also enclosed is a preliminary analysis of the estate tax, which should be close to being accurate. I do need to check with Jo Ann Barnes as to a technical question as to whether or not any of your father's trust comes into this. I do not think it does, but there have been many changes in the law since that trust was established. I will have to ask her to bill us for that advice and any other technical tax matters I am not comfortable with. I can do most of the rest of the tax work and save the estate some money.

The executors' commission shown on the analysis is not figured on the value of the realty; however it does not include the 5% commission on the receipts of the estate in addition to the inventory.

In order to file that return and the subsequent Fiduciary Income tax return we will need an accounting from Tony from the date of his last accounting to the date of death. If he does not want to prepare it, I will not agree to any preliminary disbursement to him at all, and will seek your approval to file suit against him to compel the accounting, plus damages to the estate for his delay. Since that trust terminated on your mother's death, his final accounting is due now and not in October.

There will be no further explanations or written entreaties to him as far as I am concerned. He has the duty and he will perform it under a court order if necessary. Of course he will furnish that receipt.

The preliminary analysis contains three alternatives on Accotink at the bottom for your consideration.

In the event that we do seek a reduction in the assessment Tony will be given written notice that his prompt cooperation is necessary and that if he fails to cooperate that he is aware of the

This places the filing of the Trust Account before the filing of the Estate Tax Return that is due on June 15, 1992. This makes it easier to entangle the Trust accounting with the Estate Tax Return accounting. Both accounts were done by the CPA Joanne Barnes.

adverse consequences to the estate and is responsible for them.

As far as further steps are concerned, we have a lot to do. No gift tax returns were filed for 1989 and 1991 which will have to be done. The results of those gifts are factored in under "Unified Credit used for gifts 9,784".

The paper trail in the court and IRS is as follows:

- File Estate tax by June 15, 1992
- File First Accounting (16 months after qualification but can be sooner)
- Ask for posting of Debts and Demands against the estate.
- File Fiduciary Income tax returns for period 9/15/91-9/15/92, due January 1, 1993.
- File Motion for a Show Cause why distribution should not be made. Submit Show Cause Order.
- Request Executor's exoneration letter from IRS and Virginia.
- Obtain closing letter from IRS and Virginia as to estate tax returns.
- File 1993 Fiduciary tax returns (Sept. 1992-distribution)
- File for Order allowing distribution.
- Distribute estate.
- File Final Accounting.

Normally distribution is withheld until the Order of Distribution is entered. As I indicated the creditors have one year to press claims against the estate. No prudent executor will distribute before that period, the entry of the Order of Distribution and the receipt of the tax closing letters.

Sincerely


Edward J. White

EJW/e
Encl.

This letter plants at least nine set ups. Jean Nader will be used to carry out some if not most of them. Notice that right out of the block the Attorney is advising our sister to take me to Court. I could not get one authority to stop this go-to-Court agenda. Twenty years later Jean Nader is used to take me to Court and our family is dis-empowered.

The public is led to believe that this will be a fiduciary relationship. Our Mother did not want money to disappear from her estate or her family torn apart to cover it up. Someone should tell the public how this really works.

SH. NOTES. STOCKS & BONDS

ck Wash Gas Light Co. 8/1/91	105.00
ck Signet 8/5/91	39.60
ck A. G. Edwards 8/15/91	2,346.63
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 4/30/91	162.86
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 5/31/91	162.86
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 7/31/91	162.86
ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 8/30/91	162.86
Ck Nuveen Fund 3/1/91	63.00
Ck Nuveen Fund 5/1/91	63.00
ck Nuveen Fund 6/3/91	63.00
ck Nuveen Fund 8/1/91	66.50
ck Nuveen Fund 9/3/91	66.50
ck American Funds 9/9/91	424.76
Sovran Bank #4536-2785	3,310.46
First Virginia Bank #4076-1509	22,812.52
Fx Co. Ind Dev Bond	109,587.00
Franklin Va. Fund 4556.001 sh	50,507.84
Investment Co. of America 3861.447 sh	65,663.91
Kemper Mun Bond Fund 2961.152 sh	30,396.23
Nuveen Premium Inc Mun Fund 700 sh	6,450.50
Washington Gas Light Co. 200 sh	6,375.00
Signet Banking Corp 198 sh	4,331.25
Lynch Properties note	518,903.26
Travelers Check	20.00
1988 Plymouth Van	8,000.00
Am Funds 5/10/91	326.60
USAA Subscriber savings acct	25.10
SUB TOTAL	830,599.10

OTHER ASSETS

1990 Virginia Tax refund	1,605.53
Debt from Harold O'Connell Trust	659.97
Blue Cross refund	88.78
SUB TOTAL	2,354.33

JOINT ASSETS

Hallmark Bank #1107849600	40,796.81
---------------------------	-----------

REAL ESTATE

15 acres Fairfax Co. Va. 53.9006% interest	323,403.60
--	------------

TOTAL ASSETS

1,197,153.84

DEBTS

Colonial Emerg Phys (med bill)	10.40
Fairfax Circ Ct. letters	14.00
Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb	1,269.00
Sovran Bank Car loan payoff	1,364.97
Checks	15.89
Commissioner of accounts Inventory	61.00
IRS 1991 1040 return	15,332.00
Va. Dept Tax 1991 return	2,856.00
Jean M. Nader, bills pd	8,559.00
Sheila Ann O'Connell-Shevenell, cem bill	475.00
Co-Executors' Commission	41,529.96
Commissioner of Accounts fee for Accounting	1,048.25

TOTAL DEBTS AND EXPENSES

72,535.46

1992.04.21 - The Lynch Note was paid off in full (prematurely) on April 21, 1992.

1992.04.22 - In this enclosure to the letter dated April 22, 1992, which is one day after April 21, 1992, \$545,820.43 cash payment, the Lynch Note is reported as if it had not been paid off in full.

TAX COMPUTATION

GROSS ESTATE	1,197,153.84		
DEBTS & EXPENSES	72,535.46		

TAXABLE ESTATE	1,124,618.38	ACC 75% 1,043,767.48	ACC 60% 995,256.94
			3 1/2% 15244
TENTATIVE TAX 41% bracket	396,893.53	363,744.67	343,950.21
Unified Credit before gift comp 192,800			
Unified Credit used for gifts 9,784			
UNIFIED CREDIT	183,016.00	183,016.00	183,016.00
CREDIT FOR VIRGINIA TAX	40,375.58	35,201.12	32,934.39
NET FEDERAL TAX	173,501.96	145,527.55	127,999.82
VIRGINIA TAX	40,375.58	35,201.12	32,934.39
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL ESTATE TAXES	213,877.53	180,728.67	160,934.21

$$\$545,820.43 - \$26,917.17 = \$518,903.26$$

Our Mother's Estate receives a cash payment of \$545, 820.43 on April 21, 1992, but only \$26,917.17 of it is recorded. The difference of \$518,903.26 disappears.

The accountants and their collaborators want Jean Nader in because they can use her as an unwitting agent and cover. They want me, Anthony O'Connell, out because I try to stop them from making money disappear and from tearing our family apart to cover it up.

It's been more than twenty-three years now and not one authority has tried to expose the accounting at bk467p191 or tried to protect our family.

What is it that makes the CPA Joanne Barnes (SNN 579-44-3240 and EIN 541040148?), the Attorney Edward White, and their collaborators not have to be accountable?

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes did the accounting for the Trust and the Estate. Any and all communications about the accounting should be to or from the CPA.

Trust U/W Harold O'Connell
Anthony O'Connell, Trustee
6541 Franconia Road
Springfield, Virginia 22150

April 29, 1992
As of April 11, 1992

BRUNER, KANE & MCCARTHY, LTD.
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
700 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 1250
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

ARTHUR J. BRUNER, CPA
JOHN T. KANE, CPA
JOANNE L. BARNES, CPA
CHARLES W. BALLOU, CPA
NICHOLAS GREKSOUK, CPA
RICHARD G. COLE, JR., CPA
DANIEL F. MCCARTHY, CPA
CONSULTANT

(703) 549-7800
FAX (703) 836-5591

MEMBERS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
AICPA DIVISION FOR CPA FIRMS
SEC PRACTICE SECTION
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION
THE MCGLADREY NETWORK

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO "BKM, LTD."
(PLEASE INCLUDE REF. NO. ON YOUR CHECK)

CLIENT # 96085-THO

REF. NO. 9059

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED:

Preparation of Federal and Virginia fiduciary income
tax returns for the year ended December 31, 1991 and
preparation of annual court accounting for the year
ended December 31, 1991

\$3,100

*paid
5/6/92*

A 1 1/2 % PER MDNTH LATE PAYMENT PENALTY WILL BE ADDED TO ALL ACCOUNTS NOT
PAID WITHIN 40 DAYS OF THE BILLING DATE.

Communication trails

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes did the accounting for the Trust and the Estate. Any and all communications about the accounting should be to or from the CPA.

Think about communications trails. Compare what they should be and what they were.

I believe the biggest obstacle to recognizing the deceptions is your automatic trust. You just can't believe that they would do X much less get away with it. There was no regulatory agency in Virginia that would regulate them.

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 4, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader
350 Fourth Ave.
New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is the form for appealing the tax assessment of the Accotink property. On page 2, it states that there is a June 1 deadline. I do not think we can make a claim of a lesser value on the estate tax return if we do not file an appeal with the county. To fail to do appeal it would hurt our argument with the IRS.

The summary of the estate tax computation and the interplay of the gift tax is as follows:

1. In computing the estate tax, the gross estate (which includes anything which passes due to death whether in the probate estate or not) is figured, the debts subtracted and the "taxable estate" is ascertained.
2. The tax is then computed on the taxable estate. From this figure is subtracted a "unified credit" of \$192,800 (equivalent to a taxable estate of \$600,000).
3. Lifetime gifts in excess of \$10,000 to any one individual are taxable at the estate/gift tax rates. Each year the donor should have filed a gift tax return, though no tax is due unless the entire \$192,800 credit has been used in making the gifts.
4. Each gift over \$10,000 uses a portion of the unified credit, thus reducing the amount of that credit available to apply to the estate tax.

In our case the lifetime gifts used up \$9784.00 of the available credit. A list of the gifts is enclosed. Returns for 1989 and 1991 must be filed. As fiduciaries we must certify to the IRS that the return is true and correct. We have personal liability in that regard. If we have knowledge of a gift to Tony of \$15,000, we must report it. Tony is going to have to answer that question before we can be satisfied. If he claims he did not receive the money, he will have to supply us with an affidavit to that effect.


As far as the management of an estate undergoing the probate process is concerned, the Executors are entitled to some latitude

within the confines of their fiduciary duty. The decisions about the estate are theirs.

My personal operational mode in these matters is to keep the heirs fully supplied with the paperwork of the estate, and consult with them fully as to strategic and long range issues, such as the valuation of property in the Accotink situation. The day to day matters and the justification for tactical positions taken such as the contents of forms and accountings are the prerogative of the Executors and subject to the scrutiny and approval of the Commissioner of Accounts or the taxing authorities only.

With regard to the filing of the income tax return, my file indicates that I received a fax copy of the K-1 from the Harold O'Connell Trust on April 9, 1992, only six days before the tax return was due.

Sincerely,



Edward J. White

EJW/e
Encl.

CPA invisible

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes did the accounting for the Trust and the Estate.

So when Edward White says "With regard to the filing of the income tax return, my file indicates that I received a fax copy of the K-1 from the Harold O'Connell Trust [Anthony OConnell, Trustee] on April 9, 1992, only six days before the tax return was due." (May 4, 1992.), it means the CPA was late in giving it to herself.

And when the Virginia Bar says "As justification for the delay, Mr. White points out that he experienced some delay in obtaining the K-1 from you and your own complaint appears to concede that there was a problem with getting the K-1 to Mr. White." (February 10, 1993), it means the CPA was late in giving it to herself.

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 19, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell
c/o Edgar A. Prichard, Esq.
8280 Greensboro Dr.
#900
McLean, Va. 22102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O'Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

In your letter of May 6 to Jean you asked that I communicate with you with regard to the Harold O'Connell Trust.

I am trying to prepare the estate tax, and as usual in these cases, there are problems trying to understand the flow of debts and income.

I do have a few questions which are put forward simply so that the figures on the Trust's tax returns and accounting will agree with the estate's.

1. The K-1 filed by the Trust for 1991 showed income to your mother of \$41,446.00. The Seventh Accounting appears to show a disbursement to her of \$40,000.00 plus first half realty taxes paid by the trust for her and thus a disbursement to her of \$1794.89. If these two disbursements are added the sum is \$41,794.89. This leaves \$348.89 which I cannot figure out. It could well be a disbursement of principal and not taxable.

2. The K-1 filed by the Trust showed a payment of \$816.00 in interest to the estate. You sent a check in the amount of \$1475.97 to the estate. What was the remaining \$659.97? Do I have this confused with the tax debt/credit situation which ran from the Third Accounting?

3. On the Seventh Accounting "Income per 7th Account" is shown as \$5181.71, but I cannot figure that one out either.

I am of the opinion that the estate owes the trust for the second half real estate taxes from September 15, 1991 through December 31, 1991 in the amount of \$1052.35. This is shown on your accounting as disbursed to the heirs. Should this be paid back to the heirs or to the Trust?

I believe that the income received from the savings accounts

Page 2
Ltr to Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell
May 19, 1992

from September 15 to the date the various banks made their next payment to the Trust (9/30 and 9/21) should be split on a per diem basis, since the Trust terminated on her death. This will be a small amount of course.

Are there any other debts which your Mother owed the Trust?

I realize that Jo Ann Barnes prepared this and if you authorize it I can ask her to help me out.

Please understand that I have no problem with the Accounting, I m just trying to match things up. In the long run, since the beneficiaries are the same, the matter is academic.

Please send the bill for the appraisal whenever you receive it. Jean is filing the Fairfax form for re-assessment in her capacity as a co-owner in order to give us a better basis to get this assessment changed and to meet the county's deadline. It will state that the appraisal you have ordered will follow. I think this will be to all of your benefit in the long run.

Sincerely,



Edward J. White

EJW/e
Copy to: Jean M. Nader

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes did the accounting for the Trust and the Estate. Any and all communications about the accounting should be to or from the CPA.

This makes me appear as the party wanting secrecy. This appears as justifying Edward White's asking me about the CPA's accounting.

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
TELEPHONE 836-5444

February 2, 1993

*I sent
this to you
before*

Mrs. Jean M. Nader
350 Fourth Ave.
New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: Estate events

Dear Jean,

At present the status of the estate is as follows:

Debts and Demands: A hearing following publication, for any creditors of the estate to come forward and press their claims was held on December 30, 1992 by the Commissioner of Accounts. No one appeared.

First Accounting: is still awaiting approval. I spoke to the Commissioner's office on January 29, and they said they are just beginning to review accounts filed in October. The account must be reviewed and any questions answered. (I have never known of a Commissioner who did not have some questions.) The account is then approved or disapproved, and the Commissioner files his report with the court. No time prediction can be made here as this is solely in the hands of the Commissioner.

Estate Tax Closing Letter or communication in lieu of a closing letter. No time prediction can be made here as this is solely in the hands of the IRS. In estate's of this size an audit of some or all of the return is not at all unusual.

Motion for an Order to Show Cause why the estate should not be distributed. Filed by the estate after the report of the accounting has been filed with the Court by the Commissioner.

Order to Show Cause why the estate should not be distributed. This is entered by the Court upon the request (and appearance) of the estate, following two weeks publication.

Order of Distribution. Presented to the Court following the Show Cause proceeding. The Show Cause - Order of Distribution statutory scheme is the protection for the executors.

Distribution in accordance with the Order.

Second (and Final) Accounting Filed after distribution

showing all transactions since the First Accounting.

Second Fiduciary Income Tax Return Filed after distribution for the period following the first return (9/1/92 - ?)

The unknown factors as far as time is concerned are: 1) the federal and state tax closing letters, 2) When the Commissioner approves the accounting, 3) When the Commissioner makes his report to the Court, 4) Delays in the Clerk's office. The fiduciary has no control whatsoever over any of these items

Enclosed are checks to be signed to the Commissioner and to Keller-Bruner for the tax preparation. The accountant's bill is reasonable considering the complexity of the return involving tax free income, preliminary distributions and capital gains.

As far as an income prediction for the Estate is concerned, I can make no intelligent prediction since I do not know how long it will remain open. I have been continuously burned in making gratuitous comments about the tax liability of the heirs, and counsel and other attorney friends have stated to me, that given the performance of Mr. O'Connell, that I should make no comment at all. I tried to be helpful, but that did not work. I can only say that had I not been adamant about re-valuing the Accotink property, Mr. O'Connell's initial approach would have cost this estate dearly. From the comments in his recent demands for "information", I can see that he is jumping to conclusions based on no knowledge at all. I will not reply directly to him on any future aspect of this estate. As a matter of fact I am precluded as an attorney from dealing with an adverse party who is represented by counsel. I have no intentions of having him dictate the duties of the fiduciaries. If his counsel wishes to discuss anything, I am certainly available.

The present assets of the estate are:

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Burke and Herbert Bank
at a fluctuating interest rate (3.1% in January) | \$8,602.89 |
| 2. Kemper Municipal Bond Fund (Edwards)
based on January share price x shares owned | 32,484.60 |
| 3. Franklin Va Fund (Edwards)
based on December share price x shares owned | 58,185.78 |
| 4. Investment Co. of America (Edwards)
based on december share price x shares owned | 73,800.59 |
| 5. Nuveen Premium Inc Fund (Edwards)
based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement | 11,812.50 |

6. 198 sh Signet Banking Corp (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	8,910.00
7. 200 sh Washington Gas Light (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	7,725.00
8. Centennial Money Market Trust (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	3,949.07
9. Cash at Edwards based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	224.60
10. Fairfax Co. 6.4% bond (Edwards) based on face value not market value	110,000.00

This totals \$315,695.03, but is out of date since there have been additions since 12/31/92. These figures are taken from data at hand and do not represent any formal accounting by me. They are not furnished for any individual's use for personal tax purposes, and I disclaim any personal tax liability which might arise.

I am enclosing Edwards 12/31/92 statement which contains an entry for each asset's estimated annual yield. The amounts received from all of these funds will vary with market conditions. All of these Edwards assets are being reinvested, either in the specific funds or in Edwards Centennial Money Market Account. The estimates on Franklin, Kemper and ICA are much harder to figure. A complicating factor is that Nuveen, Kemper, Franklin and the Fairfax bond are tax free, though not all of them are Virginia tax free.

The following are the earnings from 9/1/92, the beginning date for the next fiduciary income tax return.

	GROSS	TAXABLE
Nuveen 9/1/92	67.90	67.90
Nuveen 9/1/92	24.50	24.50
Int earned B&H	406.86	406.86
END FIRST ACCOUNTING		

SECOND ACCOUNTING PERIOD

Nuveen 5/1/92	66.50	66.50
Int earned B&H	150.45	150.45
Virginia Estate tax refund	1,596.01	
Virginia Estate tax refund, interest	14.04	14.04
U S Estate tax refund	14,050.52	
U S Estate tax refund interest	358.01	358.01
Int earned B&H	133.64	133.64

Int earned B&H	151.96	151.96
Int earned B&H	81.46	81.46
TOTAL TO DATE	17,101.85	1,455.32

It should be noted that some of these items are tax free.

Since the tax laws now require payment of estimated taxes after the first estate tax year, I will have to compute these later. They will be due in April, if the estate is still open then.

Finally, I would like, for the record some memorandum from you and Sheila concerning my earlier comments as to attempting a further reduction in the Accotink valuation.

Sincerely,



Edward J. White

EJW/e
Encl.

The CPA Joanne L. Barnes did the accounting for the Trust and the Estate. Any and all communications about the accounting should be to or from the CPA. The CPA's complete silence and the lawyer's accounting instructions create an environment where money disappears and our family is torn apart to cover it up.

Connect the dots

- (1) The dot for the accounting at bk467p191 being concealed for 23 years.
- (2) The dot for killing the messenger who has been trying to expose it for 23 years.
- (3) The dot for judgements made without exposing the accounting for 23 years.
- (4) The dot for no authority trying to expose the accounting for 23 years..
- (5) The dot for no authority trying to stop the accountants and their collaborators from using Jean Nader for 23 years.

			15% OFF LAND
1	GROSS ESTATE	1,041,017.55	1,016,776.73
2	DEDUCTIONS	108,803.52	108,803.52
3	TAX EST	932,214.03	907,973.21
4	AJD TAX GIFTS	42,600.00	42,600.00
5	TOTAL 3&4	974,814.03	950,573.21
6	TENTATIVE TAX	335,977.47	326,523.55
7			
8	TENTATIVE TAX	335,977.47	326,523.55
9	GIFT TAX	8,824.00	8,824.00
10	GROSS EST TAX	327,153.47	317,699.55
11	UNIFIED CREDIT	192,800.00	192,800.00
12			
13	ALLOWABLE UN CREDIT	192,800.00	192,800.00
14	10-13	134,353.47	124,899.55
15	CREDIT FOR STATE TAX	29,403.99	28,046.50
16	14-15 TAX	104,949.49	96,853.05
	FED DIFFERENCE		8,096.43
	STATE DIFFERENCE		1,357.49
	TOTAL DIFFERENCE		9,453.92
	DIFFERENCE TO EACH		3,151.31



Virginia State Bar

Eighth and Main Building
707 East Main Street, Suite 1500
Richmond, Virginia 23219-2803
Telephone: (804) 775-0500

Facsimile: (804) 775-0501 TDD: (804) 775-0502

November 1, 1993

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell
6541 Franconia Road
Springfield, Virginia 22150

RE: In the Matter of Edward J. White
VSB Docket #93-042-0976

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

This letter is in response to your certified letter dated September 20, 1993, which was received in this office on September 23, 1993. As you know, the basis for my dismissal of your complaint was the absence of an attorney-client relationship between you and the Respondent. Nothing you have submitted to me under cover letter dated September 20, 1993 changes my conclusion.

The copy of Mr. White's fee statement shows an entry: "4/20 OV A. O'CONNELL." The fact that you had an office visit with Mr. White does not create an attorney-client relationship.

I note that the fee statement dated April 16, 1988 is sent to Mrs. Jean M. O'Connell and I believe that your mother is the client in this particular matter, not you.

Your original complaint alleges that the Respondent handled your mother's estate incompetently. I do not believe you have standing to complain, because you are not a client of Mr. White. The second enclosure, a list of your unreturned telephone calls to Mr. White, also does not change my conclusion. Unless you can show that you are a client of Mr. White, Mr. White was under no ethical duty or mandate to return your telephone calls. This complaint also boils down to your word against Mr. White's as to whether he was representing you at the settlement on the real estate transaction. The Bar would have to prove your position by clear and convincing evidence, and I simply do not see any clear and convincing evidence that Mr. White had agreed to represent you, or that he represented you by his conduct.

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell


Page 2

November 1, 1993

Finally, you indicate that Mr. White, over a period of seven years, has made defamatory and divisive statements which you consider to be far more damaging than the issue regarding the real estate settlement. The Code of Professional Responsibility does not proscribe defamatory statements by an attorney, and our office is not the appropriate forum to investigate or prosecute your claim. If you feel that you have been defamed or libeled by the Respondent, then your remedy is to file a civil action, but a Bar complaint is not an appropriate vehicle to resolve that issue.

I am truly sorry that I cannot advance your claims or interest, however, I must stand on my original decision to dismiss your complaint. I trust that you will appreciate my explanation, although you disagree with it.

Very truly yours,



James M. McCauley
Assistant Bar Counsel

JMM/dls

There is absolutely nothing you can do to stop the accountants and their collaborators from tearing the victimized family apart to protect themselves if they can get one family member to trust and carry out their covert advice. Nothing.

"Finally, you indicate that Mr. White, over a period of seven years, has made defamatory and divisive statements which you consider to be far more damaging than the issue regarding the real estate settlement. **The Code of Professional Responsibility does not proscribe defamatory statements by an attorney**, and our office is not the appropriate forum to investigate or prosecute your claim. If you feel that you have been defamed or libeled by the Respondent, then your remedy is to file a civil action, but a Bar complaint is not an appropriate vehicle to resolve that issue."

(November 1, 1993 (Virginia Bar [James M. McCauley] to Anthony OConnell, in part)

EDWARD J. WHITE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

February 28, 1995

File

The Hon. Thomas S. Kenney
Judge, Circuit Court of Fairfax County
4110 Chain Bridge Rd.
Fairfax, Va. 22030

Judge Thomas S. Kenney genuinely
tried to protect our family.

Re: Estate of Jean M. O'Connell
Fid. #49160


Dear Judge Kenny,

Normally I just let these things lie still, but Mr. Anthony O'Connell's latest in his letter to you needs some clarification.

I not only furnished Mr. O'Connell's attorney, Edgar A. Prichard, a copy of the entire financial history of the estate, noting that it would be from that document that the final accounting would be prepared (my ltr of 11/9/93), but a copy of the accounting itself (my ltr of 1/19/94). In addition, he received copies correspondence concerning every other event in the administration of this estate including all of my letters to the co-executor, his sister.

I have never received his "Exceptions" and have only heard from the Commissioner's office that they are 109 pages long.

Sincerely,


Edward J. White

EJW/e
"As far as an income prediction for the Estate is concerned, I can make no intelligent prediction since I do not know how long it will remain open. I have been continuously burned in making gratuitous comments about the tax liability of the heirs, and counsel and other attorney friends have stated to me, that given the performance of Mr. O'Connell, that I should make no comment at all. I tried to be helpful, but that did not work. I can only say that had I not been adamant about re-valuing the Accotink property, Mr. O'Connell's initial approach would have cost this estate dearly. From the comments in his recent demands for "information", I can see that he is jumping to conclusions based on no knowledge at all. I will not reply directly to him on any future aspect of this estate. As a matter of fact I am precluded as an attorney from dealing with an adverse party who is represented by counsel. I have no intentions of having him dictate the duties of the fiduciaries. If his counsel wishes to discuss anything, I am certainly available."
(From Edward White's letter to Jean Nader, February 2, 1993)

Obstacle

I believe the biggest obstacle to recognizing the deceptions is the automatic trust in the establishment. You just don't consider that they would do X much less get away with it.

