

B&Ks-choice12p

B&K

The law firm of Blankingship and Keith in Fairfax County

Timeline

The more I try to expose the accounting trails of the CPA Joanne White and the Attorney Edward White, the more I'm shut out, and I've tried for twenty years.

After I posted my website <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> on March 31, 2012, to try to expose their accounting trails at bk467p191, my power of attorney for selling the Trust property was revoked, I was sent a Summons, an Injunction, a Notice to appear in Court, and an Order to appear in Court.

If there is any doubt that the accountant's signature pattern is to use a trusting family member as cover, vetting these events should remove it.

Perhaps it's all a coincidence, but the following happened to me after I tried to expose bk467p191 by posting my website <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> on March 31, 2012:

1992.10.16 Trust created.

1993.03.20 Accounting at Book467page191 approved by Commissioner Jesse Wilson III
(The accounting trails remain concealed)

2012.03.31 Trustee posts <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> to try to expose bk467p191.

2012.05.10 Trustee's VA poverty pension application disappears in confusion.

2012.05.11 Trustee sent lien for \$27,699.

2012.05.25 Trustee's Power of Attorney for Trust property revoked. (Use Jean Nader)

2012.09.04 Trustee sent Summon. (Use Jean Nader)

2012.09.28 Trustee sent Injunction. (Use Jean Nader)

2012.10.22 Trustee sent Notice to appear in Court. (Use Jean Nader)

2012.11.21 Trustee asks Judges about Notice. (Use Jean Nader)

2012.11.27 Judge Smith to Trustee: judgesmith2p (Use Jean Nader)

2012.12.05 Trustee sent Court Order. (Use Jean Nader)

2013.07.03 B&K law firm letter says Jean Nader has replaced Anthony O'Connell as Trustee:
b&k3p * (Use Jean Nader)

2013.10.23 I notice that a B&K attorney is apparently "in care of" trust property. (Use Jean Nader)

2014.01.09 DTA Director emails Trustee with an attached Order dated October 5, 2012. **

*I have never received anything from the Court saying I was no longer Trustee.

**I had not seen the attached Order dated October 5, 2012, before. It says I am not to sell the the trust property



Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Your letters of May 25, 2012, and May 31, 2012

2 messages

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com> Sat, Jul 14, 2012 at 3:44 PM

To: "Sarah E. Hall" <shall@bklawva.com>
Cc: Sheila O'Connell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, Amy Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, Jean Nader <jeansfinedining@hotmail.com>, Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>, "James E. Tierney" <moulinavent@maine.rr.com>, Tammy Tierney <fins2theleft@maine.rr.com>, Pierre Shevenell <pierreshevenell@yahoo.com>

Ms. Sarah Hall, of the law firm of Blankingship and Keith,

I apologize for the delay in responding to your letters of May 25, 2012, and May 31, 2012; I had a medical problem. Thank you for your email address.

Please look at my websites <http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com> and <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> and decide whether you want B&K to support the accountants or the law. If the accounting at Bk467p191 in the public record were exposed, it would show that our sister Jean Nader is being used by the accountant's to make money disappear, and that there is no end in sight. Our family is innocent no matter how much the accountants make it appear otherwise. Can B&K enforce the law and have the accounting trails behind Bk467p191 actually be exposed? Would you please explain to Jean Nader some of the ways we have been set up?

I saw the only possible way to sell Accotink without our family being put through another round of conflict and confusion and have our money disappear behind it, was to not pay the real estate taxes on Accotink so Accotink would be sold for back taxes; but someone paid enough of the taxes to stop that.

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Sat, Jul 14, 2012 at 3:44 PM

To: anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

jeansfinedining@hotmail.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the other email provider for further information about the cause of this failure was: 550 550 Requested action not taken: mailbox unavailable (SMTP error)

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=gmail.com; s=20120113;

h=mime-version:date:message-id:subject:from:to:cc:content-type;

bh=mXtdgLHZcH2lmMqrCDbbQG5tLoOiQSUW3+WJ+46gHmk=;

b=OKuajTznC4wecnl1llcg5+CMAOX1hXK4QA94uCN1pxulPnBDX5H0vdZH2nTvL242WmQ

O+KIEpN8cXVJQUw6tCq6XREtN99K5xTDa3f/hOaZUTZgy6MgqE0uMsTQM513iJsnBfp

L5IBG4ulL1b88wPJyn+ExZMzrBAEE1/2leSm5QHEPxt2KEkRiV98DN7akQhxDJ97og/u

alqX467LPcrPNExRCA0TWSWOxVQYpT2USOpIT5mABDwzB/nwN33LOMHCRdl+ebP0r2R

+ad59oziJrXSdvrTPyGX7iYvWcX4zfUqPTODiMpALiCBjV5PsEexaKi9RYrkna1M9vQK

gh1w==

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.182.164.10 with SMTP id ym10mr8313215obb.75.1342305870472;

Sat, 14 Jul 2012 15:44:30 -0700 (PDT)

I thought it unnecessary to mention that I had run out of money paying the taxes for all three beneficiaries because the Director of DTA was nor recognizing the Trust Deed and would not say why. It would not be prudent to try to sell the Trust property when the Trust Deed is not recognized and no reason is given.



Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Accotink, <http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com>

1 message

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Sun, Jul 15, 2012 at 6:44 PM

To: "Sarah E. Hall" <shall@bklawva.com>

Cc: Sheila O'Connell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, Amy Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, Jean Nader <jeansfinedining@hotmail.com>, Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>, "James E. Tierney" <moulinavent@maine.rr.com>, Tammy Tierney <fins2theleft@maine.rr.com>, Pierre Shevenell <pierreshevenell@yahoo.com>

Dear Sarah E. Hall,

I am very impressed that you provide your email address; it provides transparency and accountability. It's been my experience that it is seldom done. I am also impressed by your background (http://www.blankingshipandkeith.com/bk.cgim?template=attorney&employee_id=14)

The three beneficiaries of the Virginia Land Trust for Accotink, Jean Nader, Sheila O'Connell, and Anthony O'Connell, are, or are about, 70, 72, and 74 years old, and we are all in poor health. It is probable that the proceeds of Accotink would go to the next generation, and that is the reason I sent copies of my email to you of July 14, to those of that generation that I have email addresses for:

- Sheila O'Connell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, beneficiary
- Amy Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, daughter of Jean Nader
- Jean Nader <jeansfinedining@hotmail.com>, beneficiary
- Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>, beneficiary and trustee
- James E. Tierney" <moulinavent@maine.rr.com>, son of Sheila O'Connell
- Tammy Tierney <fins2theleft@maine.rr.com>, daughter in law of Sheila O'Connell
- Pierre Shevenell <pierreshevenell@yahoo.com> husband of Sheila O'Connell

Thank you.

Sincerely, Anthony O'Connell, Trustee for Accotink,
<http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com>**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Sun, Jul 15, 2012 at 6:44 PM

To: anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

jeansfinedining@hotmail.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the recipient domain. We recommend contacting the other email provider for further information about the cause of this error. The error that the other server returned was: 550 550 Requested action not taken: mailbox unavailable (state 13).



Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Accotink and bk467p191

2 messages

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 10:23 AM

To: "Sarah E. Hall" <shall@bklawva.com>

Cc: Amy Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>, "James E. Tierney" <moulinavent@maine.rr.com>, Jean Nader <jeansfinedining@hotmail.com>, Pierre Shevenell <pierreshevenell@yahoo.com>, richard patnaude <richard.patnaude@optum.com>, Sheila OConnell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, Tammy Tierney <fins2theleft@maine.rr.com>, Andrew O'Connell-Shevenell <aconnellshevenell@gmail.com>

Dear Sarah E. Hall,

I wonder if you wonder whether Jean Nader might have intentionally mislead you into believing that I have done little to try to sell Accotink, when it has become obvious that that is not true. Jean Nader would not intentionally mislead you; she trusts what the accountant's tell her to a degree that is beyond believing. She will do what the accountants tell her to do. I believe you would be astounded. She is innocent.

If your staff studied <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com>, would they see that the accountants manipulated our mother into putting Jean in control? Putting Accotink in the control of Jean Nader is putting Accotink in the control of the accountants.

I pray that you would use your power and prestige, and most of all your willingness to be transparent and accountable, to ask B&K to try to expose Bk467p191. The path to exposure would show most all that is needed. If this is not possible in the situation that we find ourselves in now, I don't believe it ever will be exposed. B&K would be giving the real estate industry of Virginia, the legal profession of Virginia, and the people of the country a huge gift.

B&K's choice

Example

1987.12.24 (From the 1987 sales contract I negotiated with Lynch Properties)

"PURCHASE AGREEMENT"

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this 24th day of December 1987, by and between JEAN MINER, ANTHONY M. O'Connell, TRUSTEE and HERBERT A. HIGHAM, TRUSTEE ("**Seller**") and LYNCH PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Virginia limited partnership, or assigns ("**Purchaser**"). The Seller and the Purchaser are sometimes hereinafter referred to as the "parties". - - -

(I can't convince our family my that this means that the "Seller" is a single legal entity; that someone would represent all or none of the grantors comprising the single legal entity of "Seller", and that the "Seller" can not be divided unless all parties to the contract agree to it.)

Section 11. Notices. (From the 1987 sales contract I negotiated with Lynch Properties, same document as the above)

All notices or communications required or permitted under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly given if in writing and delivered personally, or sent by registered or certified United States mail, return receipt requested, first class, postage prepaid, to the following addresses, (or such other addresses as may be designated in writing):

(a) if to the Seller:

Anthony M. O'Connell, Trustee

2337 S. 13th Street
St. Louis, Mo. 63104
and

(b) with a copy to:
Jean Miner O'Connell
6541 Franconia Road
Springfield, Va. 22150

(I can't convince our family my that this section means what it says; that I am the point of contact for the single legal entity of "Seller").

Takeover

1991.03.15 (Edward White to Anthony O'Connell, copy to Jean O'Connell, in part)

"In regard to your inquiry as to why, in 1988, there came a time when I **refused to deal with you on the sale**, 7 as I said, I recalled that a conceivably adverse relationship had developed between you and your mother concerning the sale.

(I can't convince my sister that Edward White's " .. I refused to deal with you on the sale ..." is against contract law.)

\$125,188 disappears, see bk467p191
(April 21,1992, payment from my sale)

"2. My copy [Jean O'Connell's 1991 IRS Form 1040] also does not show the principal of \$125,188.17 paid to my mother by the **Lynch Note in April of 1991**. It does show the interest. **With a gross profit percentage of .79 on the installment sale, about \$98,898.65 of the \$125,188.17 should have been reported on line 13 of the 1040 as a capital gain**. It appears that this omission is up and above the penalties and interest already acknowledged. Why was it not reported? Will you amend the return?"

(1992.05.29 Anthony O'Connell to Edward White, in part.)

"With regard to the income tax matter and the capital gain from the receipt of principal on the Lynch note in April 1991, I was following the 1990 return and **simply did not pick up the fact that there was a principal payment in 1991**. I will most certainly pay any interest and penalty which might accrue in this regard, and sincerely appreciate your calling it to my attention."

(1992.06.11 Edward White to Anthony O'Connell, in part.)

\$545,820 disappears, see bk467p191
(April 21,1992, payment from my sale)

"**The Lynch note will not produce any capital gain** since it was taxed in the estate as part of your mother's assets."

(1992.11.13 Edward White to Anthony O'Connell, Jean Nader, and Sheila O'Connell, in part.)

"The Lynch Note to the estate, a result of the installment sale of my mother's residence on 4/21/88, carries with it a taxable capital gain. The IRS requires that this capital gains tax be paid by the estate or the beneficiaries if the taxable capital gain is passed through the estate to the beneficiaries before the end of the tax year.

The gross profit percentage on the sale was seventy-nine percent (79%). **The payoff of the Lynch note to the estate on 4/21/92 was \$545,820.42 of which \$45,067.74 was income and \$500,752.68 was capital. Of that \$500,752.68 in capital, 79% or \$395,594.62 is taxable capital gain.**"

(1992.11.16 Anthony O'Connell to Edward White, in part.)

"Regretfully I have to amend my letter of Friday. There is no "stepped up basis" on the Lynch note according to the accountants who are preparing the fiduciary income tax return."

(1992.11.16 Edward White to Anthony O'Connell, Jean Nader, and Sheila O'Connell, in part)

"As far as an income prediction for the Estate is concerned, I can make no intelligent prediction since I do not know how long it will remain open. I have been continuously burned in making gratuitous comments about the tax liability of the heirs, and counsel and other attorney friends have stated to me, that given the performance of Mr. O'Connell, that I should make no comment at all. I tried to be helpful, but that did not work. I can only say that had I not been adamant about re-valuing the Accotink property, Mr. O'Connell's initial approach would have cost this estate dearly. From the comments in his recent demands for "information", I can see that he is jumping to conclusions based on no knowledge at all. I will not reply directly to him on any future aspect of this estate. As a matter of fact I am precluded as an attorney from dealing with an adverse party who is represented by counsel. I have no intentions of having him dictate the duties of the fiduciaries. If his counsel wishes to discuss anything, I am certainly available."

(1993.02.02 Edward White to trusting family member Jean Nader, in part)

(This is what my family has been led to believe and they will never get beyond this unless a just power steps in. My attempts to expose bk467p191 are considered a hostile act)

Accotink

No matter how clean a sales contract I could get for Accotink, does it look as if the accountants can takeover and justify it with a "I recalled that a conceivably adverse relationship had developed between you and your mother [family member] concerning the sale."? Would it be prudent to enter into a sales contract for Accotink under the present conditions?

Reference:

<http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com>

<http://www.canweconnectthedots.com>

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 10:23 AM

To: anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

jeansfinedining@hotmail.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the recipient domain. We recommend contacting the other email provider for further information about the cause of this error. The error that the other server returned was: 550 550 Requested action not taken: mailbox unavailable (state 13).

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=gmail.com; s=20120113;

h=mime-version:date:message-id:subject:from:to:cc:content-type;

bh=IWw4XdFctmLZ2BVF8G2zQJ/zyHEFNrprYgf1Guh8LJg=;

b=PtgfDtELqaRbqzKGhCsgnzlf5PMs50ZcwATRuTQb7Ok7Ngs2DhUjRNog0zrf9gdnzs

VzjsFZc0oTqmlccMZGWxvd+HnoTckbAb9q6rtHfZ0L67ICamQcjW+VHDvfr3v6mHAIzS

D6yeSURxvVGy5dWQwsfyT9XFJd45yls/syphu3nHvwh122jnjoZPzIZia6GmRiR0vTLF

bsy9vjMITF0CAsEz8kRjsw1lkdbz0C526xJ1nn1c0vh0g+xLouy5HomfxsuuoAhYCe

LAfmO8Meu7ol/yiz+BI0B1VKoyJTU3IRW1ezok3X/IVFcfPn7mL7DuKDPsFblvHWQDIJ

z0oQ==

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.60.2.131 with SMTP id 3mr4435979oeu.59.1342545797978; Tue, 17



Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Response

2 messages

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>
To: "Sarah E. Hall" <shall@bklawva.com>

Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 5:39 PM

Dear Sarah E. Hall,

Are you going to respond to my messages to you?

Thank you

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee

References:

<http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com><http://www.canweconnectthedots.com>

Re:

Sarah Hall <shall@bklawva.com>
To: Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 25, 2012 at 2:20 PM

Mr. O'Connell:

B&K

While we had earlier received formal confirmation of your receipt of my letter of May 25, 2012, it was good to hear directly from you that you had received it.

In your emails you mention a number of issues regarding the respective estates and trusts of your parents and the title to the property in the 1992 Land Trust, and you provided a link to a website on which you raise more questions. We believe these issues were mooted some time ago by findings of the Commissioner of Accounts and the Circuit Court of Fairfax County.

We were sorry to hear of your recent illness. In light of your ill health and the great distance that you live from the Virginia property, it would seem appropriate—and certainly understandable—for you to resign as Trustee of the 1992 Land Trust.

Best regards,

Sarah Hall

7/29/12



Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Please send me another copy of you last letter

1 message

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>
To: "Sarah E. Hall" <shall@bklawva.com>
Cc: Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Sun, Jul 29, 2012 at 7:34 PM

Dear Sarah E. Hall, Attorney, Blankingship & Keith

I lost your last letter from about a week ago, the one that says I should resign as Trustee of Accotink. Would you please send me another copy?

Thank you.

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee



with ^{4/14/14} responses

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Accotink, parcel 0904 01 0017 and your letter of July 3, 2013

5 messages

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 14, 2014 at 2:21 PM

To: Sarah Hall <shall@bklawva.com>

Dear Sarah Hall:

Your letter of July 3, 2013, says, in part: "This firm is representing your sister Jean O'Connell Nader in her capacity as Successor Trustee under the Land Trust Agreement dated October 16, 1992". Please send me copies of the Court documents that would show how this would be true.

Please correct me if I am wrong but your letter also says that our sister Jean Nader has signed a letter of intent and a sales contract. Please send me copies of these documents.

Sincerely,

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 14, 2014 at 3:16 PM

To: "Sarah E. Hall, law firm of Blankingship and Keith" <shall@bklawva.com>

Cc: Amy Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, Sheila OConnell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, Pierre Shevenell

<pierreshevenell@yahoo.com>, Kate Simmons <ksimmons92270@gmail.com>, Kate Simmons <ksimmons92270@yahoo.com>

Dear Sarah Hall:

Your letter of July 3, 2013, says, in part: "This firm is representing your sister Jean O'Connell Nader in her capacity as Successor Trustee under the Land Trust Agreement dated October 16, 1992". Please send me copies of the Court documents that would show how this would be true.

Please correct me if I am wrong but your letter also says that Jean Nader has signed a letter of intent and a sales contract. Please send me copies of these documents.

Sincerely,

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee

(My emails to Jean Nader at jeansfinedining@hotmail.com bounce so I ask her daughter Amy Johnson who lives near her to deliver this message to Jean Nader)

Sarah Hall <shall@bklawva.com>

Wed, Apr 16, 2014 at 11:21 AM

To: Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Mr. O'Connell:



We will send you copies of the documents you request. Please confirm that your address is 439 S. Vista Del Rio, Green Valley, Arizona 85614.

https://

Thank you.

Sarah Hall

From: Anthony OConnell [mailto:anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 5:21 PM
To: Sarah Hall
Subject: Accotink, parcel 0904 01 0017 and your letter of July 3, 2013

[Quoted text hidden]

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>
To: Sarah Hall <shall@bklawva.com>

Wed, Apr 16, 2014 at 12:18 PM

Dear Sarah Hall,

Yes, my address is:

439 S. Vista Del Rio, Green Valley, Arizona 85614.

Thank you.

From:
Anthony O'Connell

[Quoted text hidden]

Anthony OConnell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>
To: Sarah Hall <shall@bklawva.com>

Wed, Apr 16, 2014 at 1:43 PM

Dear Sarah Hall,

Would you please send me the documents as email attachments? That way there is no misunderstanding of what was sent and it is quicker.

Thank you.

Anthony O'Connell
[Quoted text hidden]

Anthony
To: Sarah
Anth



4/17/14

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

1992 deed at bk8307p1446. Please send the documents I requested, and any related, documents, by email attachment.

1 message

Anthony O'Connell <anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 17, 2014 at 2:55 PM

To: "Sarah E. Hall, law firm of Blankingship and Keith" <shall@bklawva.com>

Cc: Jean Nader % Amy Nader Johnson <natron36@hotmail.com>, Sheila O'Connell <sheilamail4@gmail.com>, Pierre Shevenell <pierreshevenell@yahoo.com>, Kate Simmons <ksimmons92270@yahoo.com>

Dear Sarah Hall:

Please send me the documents I requested, and any related documents, by email attachment. That way there should be no misunderstanding of what was sent.

Do you know why the Buyer (Edwin W. Lynch, Jr., and Andy Somerville(?)) will not communicate with me? Do you know why my sisters Jean Nader and Sheila O'Connell will not communicate with me? Why the secrecy?

Why does the Buyer apparently believe that your client Jean Nader has the legal capacity to contract for the 1992 trust property? Why does B&K believe it?

Please show the document trail from the 1992 deed at bk8307p1446 to where Jean Nader would become "Successor Trustee" with the legal capacity to contract.

Does B&K recognize the 1992 deed at bk8307p1446? Please give a "yes" or a "no". If "no", please explain why.

Thank you.

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee until proven otherwise

Please
be no
Do you
know
Why
Please
Trustee
Anthony
re: 1992
Cc: Jean
Shevenell
Anthony
re: 1992
know